IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS WESTERN DIVISION

,)
Plaintiff(s),)) Case No
V) Magistrate Judge Michael F. Iasparro)
Defendant(s).))
	TIAL STATUS REPORT AND MANAGEMENT ORDER
The parties submit this joint initial s advance of the initial status hearing set for _	status report and proposed case management order in
Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), a attended by:	a meeting was held on and was
•	for Plaintiff(s) and for Defendant(s).
•	y reviewed the policies and rules set forth in Judge rebsite and understand they will be expected to fully
I. Nature of the Case Including Legal	l Issues, Factual Issues, and Affirmative Defenses.
For claims by or against only some parties, is	dentify which.

II.	Parties and Service.
Ident	tify each individual plaintiff:
	tify each individual defendant as well as any issues with service. If more space is needed, the additional pages to the end of this report.
Def	fendant: Answer Due or Date Answered:
	any potential party Defendant(s) may seek to add as a third-party defendant and the basis of liability.
III.	Identify any Parallel Cases (including but not limited to possible MDL litigation, underlying criminal proceedings, or related litigation). The parties shall include the case number and the nature and status of the proceedings.

	Iternative Dispute Resolution. Counsel hereby certify that their clients have read the
	Vestern Division Alternative Dispute Resolution Plan, that counsel have discussed with
	eir respective clients the available dispute resolution options provided by the court and
	ivate entities, and that counsel have given an estimation of the fees and costs that would
	e associated with the litigation of this matter, through trial, to their clients. Further, counsel
	ave provided their clients with an estimate of the fees and expenses reasonably expected
	be incurred through early successful mediation. Counsel certify that they have discussed
	e available ADR options with their clients and have considered how this case might
	enefit from those options. Lastly, if this is a fee shifting case, defense counsel certify they
	ave discussed the advantages and disadvantages of making a Fed. R. Civ. P. 68 offer of
=	dgment. The failure to comply with these requirements will result in sanctions. <i>See</i> Fed. Civ. P. 16(c), (f).
K	. C1v. 1. 10(c), (1).
0	The parties have reviewed this Court's standing order on settlement conferences and
	anticipate seeking a settlement conference with the Magistrate Judge:
	o immediately
	o after initial disclosures
	o after fact discovery
	o after expert discovery.
0	The parties agree to private ADR. The mediator/arbitrator is and
	the mediation/arbitration is scheduled for
0	The parties request that this case be excused from ADR. The parties shall detail below
Ũ	the status of settlement discussions and the potential for future settlement.
	1

V.	Discovery Plan. The parties jointly propose to the Court the follow	Discovery Plan. The parties jointly propose to the Court the following discovery plan:	
	A) Discovery will be needed on the following subjects:		
	B) Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) Disclosures will be exchanged by Court requires full and proper Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures by all p		
	C) Maximum of interrogatories by each party to any o	other party.	
	D) Maximum of requests for admission by each party	to any other party.	
	E) Maximum of depositions by Plaintiff(s) and	by Defendant(s).	
	F) Each deposition [other than of to a maximum of hours unless extended by agreement		
	G) The deadline for the parties to: (1) file amended pleadings, add file third-party complaints; or (2) file a motion for leave, when represent the P. 13, 14, or 15, to amend pleadings, add counts or parties complaints is (should be no later than 9 of fact discovery).	equired by Fed. R. Civ. s, and file third-party	
	H) Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C) disclosures are due by not later than 30 days before the close of fact discovery). Absent the Court considers treating physicians to be Rule 26(a)(2)(C testimony will be elicited from the physicians.	inusual circumstances,	
	I) Supplementations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) will be made in a later than (should be no later than 30 d fact discovery).	•	
	J) Fact discovery cut-off is set for		

- L) All dispositive motions are due by ______ (should be no later than 30 days after the close of fact discovery, unless otherwise ordered by the Court or addressed by the District Judge's standing order on summary judgment).
- M) Counsel may not stipulate to extend discovery matters, including depositions, beyond dates already set in this case management order.
- N) These dates will not be amended absent a showing of good cause. The parties understand that motions for extensions of time should be brought as soon as possible, but at a minimum before the cut-off date, and a party's failure to do so runs the serious risk that the motion will be denied.
- VI. Electronically Stored Information that can reasonably be anticipated to be relevant to the litigation will be preserved. When balancing the cost, burden, and need for electronically stored information, the Court and the parties will apply the proportionality standards embodied in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1) and (b)(2)(B), as well as consider the technological feasibility and realistic costs of preserving, retrieving, reviewing, and producing electronically stored information. The parties and the Court will discuss and consider any appropriate and reasonable technologies that might further the goals of Fed. R. Civ. P. 1. Counsel should review the helpful information found at www.ediscoverycouncil.com, including the 7th Circuit Council on eDiscovery and Digital Information Model Discovery Plan.

VII.	Claims of Privilege or of Protection. The parties shall detail below any agreements reached for asserting claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material after information is produced, including whether they seek entry of their agreement as an order under Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d). See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(iv) and 26(f).

Absent any specific agreement reached by the parties, the following provisions will apply:

- 1) The production of privileged or work-product protected documents, electronically stored information ("ESI") or other information, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of the privilege or protection from discovery in this case or in any other federal or state proceeding. This Order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed by Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d).
- 2) Nothing contained herein is intended to or shall serve to limit a party's right to conduct a review of documents, ESI or other information (including metadata) for relevance, responsiveness and/or segregation of privileged and/or protected information before production.

VIII.	Consent to the Magistrate Judge. (Must check one)		
	0	Consistent with Local Rule 73.1, all parties have appeared and consent to have the Magistrate Judge conduct all proceedings in this case, including trial, the entry of a final judgment, and all post-trial proceedings.	
	0	Not all parties will consent to proceed before the Magistrate Judge.	
Plainti	ff(s)): Defendant(s):	
Repres	sent	ed By: Represented By:	
 Date			

Rev. 6/11/2025